

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a full, true and correct copy of the original on file in the Office of the Clerk of the Supreme Court of the State of Hawaii.

Dated, at:  
Honolulu, Hawaii

MAR - 9 2011

*U. M. Wabato*

Clerk, Appellate Courts, State of Hawaii

Electronically Filed  
Supreme Court  
SCAD-10-0000226  
09-MAR-2011  
09:23 AM

SCAD-10-0000226

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF HAWAII

---

OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL, Petitioner,

vs.

JANET CLARE MILLER, Respondent.

---

ORIGINAL PROCEEDING  
(ODC 10-006-8840)

ORDER OF SUSPENSION

(By: Recktenwald, C.J., Nakayama, Acoba and Duffy, JJ.,  
and Intermediate Court of Appeals Chief Judge Nakamura,  
assigned by reason of vacancy)

Upon consideration of the Office of Disciplinary Counsel's Petition for Issuance of Reciprocal Discipline Notice, our January 3, 2011 Notice and Order, Respondent Janet Clare Miller's response to the petition, Disciplinary Counsel's reply, and the record, it appears Respondent Miller was suspended from practice for one year by the Supreme Court of California by order filed August 4, 2009, and that enforcement of the California suspension order was suspended for two years subject to conditions that include a requirement that she take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Exam within one year. It further appears Hawai'i rules do not permit suspension of suspension orders and the substantially equivalent discipline in this jurisdiction is a suspension for one year, subject to fulfillment of the conditions imposed by the Supreme Court of

California. It further appears that Respondent Miller does not maintain a practice in this jurisdiction and delaying the effective date of this order for thirty days, as provided by Rule 2.16(c) of the Rules of the Supreme Court of the State of Hawai'i would serve no purpose. Therefore,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent Miller is suspended from practice in this jurisdiction for one year, effective upon entry of this order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, as a condition of her reinstatement, Respondent Miller must prove compliance with all of the conditions imposed by the California Supreme Court.

DATED: Honolulu, Hawai'i, March 9, 2011.

/s/ Mark E. Recktenwald

/s/ Paula A. Nakayama

/s/ Simeon R. Acoba, Jr.

/s/ James E. Duffy, Jr.

/s/ Craig H. Nakamura

