

# Disciplinary Counsel's Report

by Carole R. Richelieu, Chief Disciplinary Counsel

HBJ April 1998

\*Ed. Note: This column was originally slated to nm in the October 1997 issue of the Hawaii Bor Journal, but was inadvertently omitted.

# DISPOSITION OF CLOSED CLIENT FILES

File cabinets and storage areas bulging with closed client files? Before condensing or destroying those files, attorneys should be aware of certain ethical and practical considerations.

While the HRPC does not specifically cover retention of client files, HRPC 1.15(f)(3) does provide that books and records regarding funds, securities, and other properties of a client (or third person) coming into the possession of the attorney shall be preserved for at least six (6) years after completion of the matter. Thus, records such as books, ledgers, journals, and receipts relating to funds and property must be kept for that period of time.

Additionally, documents and property which belong to the client (or third person) must be returned. HRPC 1.15(f)(4); HRPC 1.16(d). These materials include any original document provided by or produced for the client, such as a deed, contract, stock certificate, photograph, banking records, or will.

In addition to ethical requirements, practical considerations may strongly influence the length of time closed client files should be retained. For instance, an attorney may wish to retain critical file documents in the event of a future malpractice claim or ethical complaint. Although disciplinary grievances are not barred by any statute of limitations, legal malpractice claims are subject to a six (6) year statute. Thus, if only for self-defense, it would be judicious for an attorney to retain closed client files for a period commensurate with any statute or claim accrual.

Special attention should also be paid to certain areas of practice; for example, practitioners in the areas of estate planning or real estate may wish to retain client files longer. Attorneys should also consider consulting with their malpractice insurance carriers regarding any carrier recommended period of time for retention of closed client files.

Once the decision has been made to reduce the volume of old files, the best first

step is to attempt to contact the former clients and ask their preference regarding the disposition of the files. Some clients may prefer to receive their file back, while others may no longer care. If the client requests that the file be returned, be aware that any copy kept for the attorney's own records is at the expense of the attorney, not the client.

Prior to destruction, each file should be carefully examined for those materials which might prove helpful to the former client in the future or the eradication of which might prejudice the client. Special attention should be given to material which has not previously been provided to the client or would be otherwise unavailable.

Passage of time, of course, does not abate an attorney's duty of confidentiality nor does the death of the client. HRPC 1.6(a). Thus, review, culling, and destruction of client files should only be performed by the attorney and his or her staff. Obviously, client files should not be merely tossed in the trash; steps must be take to ensure confidentiality.

Finally, the attorney should keep a record of former clients who received their file and/or material, former clients who did not wish to receive their file or who could not be contacted, and files destroyed.

# Disciplinary Notice

The Supreme Court of Hawaii suspended Honolulu attorney JOHN M. BURNS from the practice of law effective February 5, 1998, due to Mr. Burns' failure to cooperate with the Office of Disciplinary Counsel's investigation of his professional conduct.

Burns will remain suspended until further order of the Supreme Court. Meanwhile, the investigation of the pending complaint will continue.

## Notice of Reinstatement

By Order entered on January 20, 1998, the Supreme Court of the State of Hawaii reinstated Honolulu attorney DAN

#### S. IKEHARA

Ikehara had been suspended for sixty (60) days, pursuant to an Order of Suspension entered on October 14, 1997.

(Continued on page 23)

## **Ethics & Issues**

(Continued from page 18)

# ODC Statistical Report For 1997

We are pleased to present our annual statistical summary for 1997.

297 ethics complaints were docketed for investigation in 1997. 671 new grievances were also received in 1997.

Of the 297 complaints docketed in 1997, 26% involved alleged neglect of client matters (the largest complaint area each year). The next largest complaint categories were misrepresentations to others (7%), incompetence (5%), conflict of interest (5%), and abandonment (5%).

Clients continue to be the largest source of complaints (45%), followed by opposing parties (16%) and other attorneys (16%).

A record number of 376 complaint matters were closed in 1997. Of those, 49 (13%) were brought to conclusion in conjunction with the imposition of discipline. In all, 28 Hawaii lawyers were subject to discipline during the year. There was a significant increase in the more serious forms of discipline.

Requests for ethics guidance also increased.

The annual statistical summary is presented below.

## I. COMPLAINTS DOCKETED BY SUBJECT CATEGORY

A.	PERFORMANCE
1.	Abandonment14
	Abusiveness7
3.	Neglect (phone calls, letters, etc.)
4.	Incompetence15
	Misrepresentations to client3
	Misrepresentations to others20
	Improper withdrawal from employ- ment1
8.	Improper disclosure of confidential information
9.	Conflict of Interest14
	Improper contact with opposing party5
11.	Other9
	FEES
l.	Excessive fees7

<ol> <li>Failure to return unearned portion2</li> <li>Improper referral fees0</li> </ol>
4. Fee dispute, no unethical conduct.0
5. Other3
C. FUNDS AND PROPERTY
1. Commingling
3. Failure to account
4. Failure to deposit in trust account0
5. Failure to maintain records
Failure to promptly pay out
8. Improper payment to client0
9. Improper assertion of lien on
client's property1  10. Failure to promptly deliver
property3
11. Other0
D. SOLICITATION
1. In-Person Solicitation 0
<ol> <li>Direct Mail Solicitation</li></ol>
<ul><li>E. ADVERTISING</li><li>1. False, fraudulent, deceptive, or</li></ul>
misleading0
2. Specialization0
3. Other0
F. INTERFERENCE WITH
JUSTICE 1. Advising violation of law3
2. Appeal in bad faith0
3. Advising witness to hide, suppression
of evidence, bribing witness1
<ul><li>4. Aiding unlawful practice of law4</li><li>5. Disobedience of court order5</li></ul>
6. Disrespect of court0
7. Gifts to officials
<ul><li>8. Harassment, claim not warranted 5</li><li>9. Misrepresentations to court12</li></ul>
10. Threaten criminal prosecution0
11. Abuse of process (sham or
groundless lawsuits, etc.)2  12. Prosecutorial misconduct
13. Improper contact with tribunal4
14. Use of perjured testimony or
false evidence
15. Improper trial publicity
G. FRAUD
1. Scheme to defraud
2. Other 0
H. PERSONAL BEHAVIOR AND

CRIMES	
1. Commission of crim	ıe 9
2. Failure to honor ag	
lation	
3. Offensive language	or actions4
4. Extortion or intimic	
<ul><li>5. Coercion to obtain</li><li>6. Abusive collection p</li></ul>	payment/feei
7. Failure to pay bills	(court reporters:
expert witnesses, e	etc.)0
8. Other	2
TOTAL COMPLAIN	ITS
DOCKETED IN 199	<b>7</b> 297
II. COMPLAINT SO	OURCES
1. Clients	135
2. Attorneys	48
3. Judges	9
4. Court Reporters 5. Bar Association	0
<ul><li>5. Bar Association</li><li>6. Office of Disciplinar</li></ul>	2
7. Attorney General	
8. Other Governmenta	
9. Opposing Party	
10.Anonymous	0
11.Member of the Pub	
12.Other	<u>9</u>
TOTAL COMPLAIN	ΓS 297
III. NUMBER OF A	
COMPLAINED AGA	
NUMBER OF YEARS	S IN PRAC-
Number of Years	Number of
In Practice	Attorneys
Less than 1 - 5 years	44
6 - 10 years	
11 - 15 years	
16 - 20 years	
21 - 25 years 26 - 31+ years	
TOTAL	
TOTAL	297

### Le 6 -11 16 21 26

#### IV. NUMBER OF ATTORNEYS COMPLAINED AGAINST BY NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS PER **ATTORNEY**

No. of Attorneys with	l complaint:	183
No. of Attorneys with		

(Continued on page 36)

## **Ethics & Issues**

(Continued from page 23)

#### V. COMPLAINT DISPOSITION

No	o. of	No. of Complaints				
Atte	orneys	Involved				
Disbarment	5	7				
Order Allowing						
Resignation fi	om .					
the Bar in Lie	u					
of Discipline	3	20				
Suspension	5	7				
Public						
Censure	1	1				
Public						
Reprimand	2	2				
Informal						
Admonition	9	9				
Minor Misconduct						
Program	3	3				
Dismissed or Dismissed with Caution327						

COMPLAINTS CLOSED	
IN 1997:	376

# COMPLAINTS PENDING AS OF 12/31/97: 277

#### VI. ETHICS GUIDANCE

	1996	1997	
Letter Opinions	70	82	
Telephone Opinio	1,124		
Written reference information provided (no opinion necessary) 46 36			
TOTAL	1,110	1,242	

#### (Continued from page 31)

in Good Standing - "Within ten (10) days after the petition is filed Disciplinary Counsel [shall] may file [either (i)] objections thereto [(ii) a notice of no objection].

Rule 2.8: Immunity - gives absolute privilege and immunity to trustee proceedings conducted pursuant to Rule 2.20.

Rule 2.20: Grounds for Appointment of Trustee - adds "other good cause exhibiting an attorney's inability to protect the interests of the attorney's clients" as grounds for appointment of a trustee, and sets forth the procedure for appointment of a volunteer attorney as trustee. Confidentiality - specifies exception in cooperating with Lawyers' Fund for Client Protection investigations. Duties - specifies duties of appointed trustee. Disposition of Unclaimed Files - specifies procedure for storing unclaimed files after discharge of trustee

The full text of the proposed amendments is available at the HSBA office and on the HSBA website (http://hsba.org). Bar members having an opinion on these proposals should send their comments in writing by June 1, 1998 to the HSBA Board of Directors, 1136 Union Mall PH-1, Honolulu, Hawaii 96813 or by fax 521-7936.

# Sarah Weddington

# speaks for Family Planning

Sunday, May 17, 1998



he made history 25 years ago. As the youngest woman ever to argue before the United States Supreme Court, Sarah Weddington won Roe u Wade, the landmark case guaranteeing a woman's constitutional right to continue

or terminate her pregnancy.

Family Planning Centers of Hawaii, formerly known as Planned Parenthood of Hawaii, is honored to have Sarah Weddington speak at its 25th anniversary celebration of *Roe v. Wade*.

#### **Brunch buffet**

Waikiki Prince Hotel 11:00 a.m.

## Lieutenant Governor Mazie Hirono

Opening remarks

#### Sarah Weddington

speaks at 12:00 Noon

Tickets \$75 (\$45 tax deductible)

For information and tickets, contact Dani at Family Planning Centers of Hawaii

Call 234-6888, fax 234-6885, or e-mail dtomiyasu@MCIONE.com

## FAMILY PLANNING CENTERS OF HAWAII

32 YEARS OF EXCELLENCE IN REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH SERVICES